



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**LEONARD TACHNER**  
**A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION**  
**17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE, SUITE 38-E**  
**IRVINE, CA 92614**

**COPY MAILED**

**JAN 02 2008**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Freddie Lin et al.  
Application No. 09/761,114  
Filed: January 16, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. 2008.003

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 28, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed, June 28, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on September 29, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on May 3, 2006.


The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and fee of \$395.00, and the submission required by 37 CFR 1.114; (2) the petition fee of \$750.00; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the application. If the person signing the petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until appropriate instructions are received.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kimberly Inabinet at (571) 272-4618.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2621 for processing of the RCE and for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the amendment submitted in accordance with 37 CFR 1.114.

  
Frances Hicks  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

cc: Young A. Tang  
Sheppard Mullin Richter  
& Hampton, LLP  
333 South Hope Street, 48<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1448